Individuals and societies assessment criteria: Years 2 and 3

Criterion A: Knowing and understanding

Maximum: 8

- i. use a range of terminology in context
- ii. demonstrate knowledge and understanding of subject-specific content and concepts, through descriptions, explanations and examples.

Achievement level	Level descriptor
0	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors below.
1–2	 The student: makes limited use of terminology demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of content and concepts through limited descriptions and/or examples.
3–4	 The student: uses some terminology accurately demonstrates satisfactory knowledge and understanding of content and concepts through simple descriptions, explanations and examples.
5–6	 The student: uses considerable and relevant terminology accurately demonstrates substantial knowledge and understanding of content and concepts through descriptions, explanations and examples.
7–8	 i. consistently uses a range of terminology accurately ii. demonstrates excellent knowledge and understanding of content and concepts through developed and accurate descriptions, explanations and examples.

Criterion B: Investigating

Maximum: 8

- i. formulate/choose a clear and focused research question, explaining its relevance
- ii. formulate and follow an action plan to investigate a research question
- iii. use methods to collect and record relevant information
- iv. evaluate the process and results of the investigation, with guidance.

Achievement level	Level descriptor
0	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors below.
1–2	 i. identifies a research question that is clear, focused and relevant ii. formulates a limited action plan or does not follow a plan iii. collects and records limited or sometimes irrelevant information iv. with guidance, reflects on the research process and results in a limited way.
3–4	 i. formulates/chooses a research question that is clear and focused and describes its relevance ii. formulates and occasionally follows a partial action plan to investigate a research question iii. uses a method(s) to collect and record some relevant information iv. with guidance, reflects on the research process and results.
5–6	 i. formulates/chooses a clear and focused research question and describes its relevance in detail ii. formulates and mostly follows a sufficiently developed action plan to investigate a research question iii. uses methods to collect and record appropriate relevant information iv. with guidance, evaluates on the research process and results.
7–8	 The student: formulates/chooses a clear and focused research question and explains its relevance formulates and effectively follows a consistent action plan to investigate a research question uses methods to collect and record appropriate and varied relevant information with guidance, provides a detailed evaluation of the research process and results.

Criterion C: Communicating

Maximum: 8

- i. communicate information and ideas in a way that is appropriate for the audience and purpose
- ii. structure information and ideas according to the task instructions
- iii. create a reference list and cite sources of information.

Achievement level	Level descriptor
0	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors below.
1–2	 i. communicates information and ideas in a way that is not always appropriate to the audience and purpose ii. organizes information and ideas in a limited way iii. lists sources of information inconsistently.
3–4	 i. communicates information and ideas in a way that is somewhat appropriate to the audience and purpose ii. somewhat organizes information and ideas iii. creates an adequate reference list and sometimes cites sources.
5–6	 i. communicates information and ideas in a way that is mostly appropriate to the audience and purpose ii. mostly structures information and ideas according to the task instructions iii. creates an adequate reference list and usually cites sources.
7–8	 i. communicates information and ideas in a way that is completely appropriate to the audience and purpose ii. structures information and ideas completely according to the task instructions iii. creates a complete reference list and always cites sources.

Criterion D: Thinking critically

Maximum: 8

- i. analyse concepts, issues, models, visual representation and/or theories
- ii. summarize information to make valid, well-supported arguments
- iii. analyse a range of sources/data in terms of origin and purpose, recognizing value and limitations
- iv. recognize different perspectives and explain their implications.

Achievement level	Level descriptor
0	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors below.
1–2	 i. begins to analyse concepts, issues, models, visual representation and/or theories in a limited way ii. begins to identify connections between information to make simple arguments iii. recognizes the origin and purpose of few sources/data as well as nominal value and limitations of sources/data iv. identifies different perspectives.
3–4	 The student: completes a simple analysis of concepts, issues, models, visual representation and/or theories summarizes information to make some adequate arguments analyses sources/data in terms of origin and purpose, recognizing some value and limitations recognizes different perspectives and suggests some of their implications.
5-6	 i. completes a suitable analysis of concepts, issues, models, visual representation and/or theories ii. summarizes information in order to make usually valid arguments iii. analyses sources/data in terms of origin and purpose, usually recognizing value and limitations iv. clearly recognizes different perspectives and describes most of their implications.
7–8	 The student: completes a detailed analysis of concepts, issues, models, visual representation and/or theories summarizes information to make consistent, well-supported arguments effectively analyses a range of sources/data in terms of origin and purpose, consistently recognizing value and limitations clearly recognizes different perspectives and consistently explains their implications.